



**GROUP FOR YOUNG ALGEBRAISTS
IN UNIVERSITY OF PHAYAO**

<http://gyainup.weebly.com>

INTRODUCING UP-MODULES

AIYARED IAMPAN

(CHAYANON POLHINKONG, KASIDEJ NGENKOKKRUAD)

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Thailand

Conference on Recent Trends in Algebra and Related Topics 2023

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Thailand

January 19-20, 2023

Topics

1. Abstract	3
2. Introduction	5
3. Introducing UP-modules	11
4. Fuzzy sets in UP-modules	19
5. Some properties of fuzzy sets in UP-modules	27
6. Fuzzy UP-submodule generated by a set	38
7. Fuzzy UP-ideals of UP-algebras	46

1. Abstract

The goal of this study is to introduce the concept of a new type of the hybrid algebra between Abelian groups and UP-algebras: UP-modules. We introduce the concept of fuzzy UP-submodules of UP-modules and provides properties and finds the necessary and sufficient conditions for this concept. We define fuzzy sets in UP-modules of many forms, supplying their properties and their relation to fuzzy UP-submodules. We also define and study the fuzzy UP-submodule generated by a set of fuzzy sets in UP-modules, as well as provide for their properties and their relation to fuzzy UP-submodules.

Finally, we apply the concept of fuzzy UP-ideals of UP-algebras while providing properties and find the results of the composition and the product between fuzzy UP-ideals and fuzzy UP-submodules.

2. Introduction

DEFINITION 2.1^a An algebra $X = (X; \cdot, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a *UP-algebra*, where X is a nonempty set, \cdot is a binary operation on X , and 1 is a fixed element of X if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((y \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 1) \quad (\text{UP-1})$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(1 \cdot x = x) \quad (\text{UP-2})$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \cdot 1 = 1) \quad (\text{UP-3})$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot y = 1, y \cdot x = 1 \Rightarrow x = y) \quad (\text{UP-4})$$

A partial ordering \leq is defined on a UP-algebra $X = (X; \cdot, 1)$ by

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \cdot y = 1). \quad (2.1)$$

In a UP-algebra $X = (X; \cdot, 1)$, the following assertions are valid (see^{a, b}).

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \cdot x = 1) \quad (2.2)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot y = 1, y \cdot z = 1 \Rightarrow x \cdot z = 1) \quad (2.3)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot y = 1 \Rightarrow (z \cdot x) \cdot (z \cdot y) = 1) \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot y = 1 \Rightarrow (y \cdot z) \cdot (x \cdot z) = 1) \quad (2.5)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot x) = 1) \quad (2.6)$$

^aIampan, “A new branch of the logical algebra: UP-algebras”.

^bA. Iampan. “Introducing fully UP-semigroups”. In: *Discuss. Math., Gen. Algebra Appl.* 38.2 (2018), pp. 297–306. DOI: [10.7151/dmgaa.1290](https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgaa.1290).

$$(\forall x, y \in X)((y \cdot x) \cdot x = 1 \Leftrightarrow x = y \cdot x) \quad (2.7)$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot y) = 1) \quad (2.8)$$

$$(\forall a, x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \cdot (x \cdot ((a \cdot y) \cdot (a \cdot z)))) = 1) \quad (2.9)$$

$$(\forall a, x, y, z \in X)((((a \cdot x) \cdot (a \cdot y)) \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot z) = 1) \quad (2.10)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot (y \cdot z) = 1) \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot y = 1 \Rightarrow x \cdot (z \cdot y) = 1) \quad (2.12)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot (x \cdot (y \cdot z)) = 1) \quad (2.13)$$

$$(\forall a, x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot (y \cdot (a \cdot z)) = 1) \quad (2.14)$$

EXAMPLE 2.2^a Let U be a nonempty set and let $X \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ where $\mathcal{P}(U)$ means the power set of U . Let $\mathcal{P}_X(U) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(U) \mid X \subseteq A\}$. Define a binary operation \cdot on $\mathcal{P}_X(U)$ by putting $A \cdot B = B \cap (A' \cup X)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_X(U)$ where A' means the complement of a subset A . Then $(\mathcal{P}_X(U), \cdot, X)$ is a UP-algebra. Let $\mathcal{P}^X(U) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(U) \mid A \subseteq X\}$. Define a binary operation $*$ on $\mathcal{P}^X(U)$ by putting $A * B = B \cup (A' \cap X)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^X(U)$. Then $(\mathcal{P}^X(U), *, X)$ is a UP-algebra.

^aA. Satirad, P. Mosrijai, and A. Iampan. “Generalized power UP-algebras”. In: *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 14.1 (2019), pp. 17–25.

DEFINITION 2.3 A UP-algebra $X = (X; \cdot, 1)$ is said to be

- (i) *bounded* if there is an element $0 \in X$ such that $0 \leq x$ for all $x \in X$, that is,

$$(\forall x \in X)(0 \cdot x = 1), \quad \text{(Bounded)}$$

- (ii) *meet-commutative* if it satisfies the identity

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \wedge y = y \wedge x), \quad \text{(Meet-commutative)}$$

where

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \wedge y = (y \cdot x) \cdot x). \quad \text{(Meet)}$$

3. Introducing UP-modules

In this section, we introduce a system of hybrid algebra between UP-algebras and Abelian groups in a form similar to the well-known modules. This new algebraic system is called UP-modules, which is defined as follows.

DEFINITION 3.1 By a *left UP-module* (briefly, *UP-module*) over a UP-algebra $X = (X; \cdot, 1)$, we mean an Abelian group $M = (M; +, 0)$ with an operation $X \times M \rightarrow M$ with $(x, m) \mapsto xm$ satisfies the following axioms:

$$(\forall x, y \in X, \forall m \in M)((x \wedge y)m = x(y m)) \quad (\text{UPM-1})$$

$$(\forall x \in X, \forall m, n \in M)(x(m + n) = xm + xn) \quad (\text{UPM-2})$$

$$(\forall m \in M)(1m = 0) \quad (\text{UPM-3})$$

EXAMPLE 3.2 Let A be a nonempty set and $X = \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $(X; +, \emptyset)$ is an Abelian group with $m + n = (m - n) \cup (n - m)$ for any $m, n \in X$. By Example 2.2, we get $(X; \cdot, \emptyset)$ is a UP-algebra. Hence, X is a UP-module over itself with $xm = x \cap m$ for all $x, m \in X$.

EXAMPLE 3.3 Let A be a nonempty set and $X = \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $(X; +, A)$ is an Abelian group with $m + n = (m \cap n) \cup (n \cup m)'$ for any $m, n \in X$. By Example 2.2, we get $(X; *, A)$ is a UP-algebra. Hence, X is a UP-module over itself with $xm = x \cup m$ for all $x, m \in X$.

DEFINITION 3.4 A UP-module M over X is said to be

(i) *unitary* (when X is bounded) if it satisfies the identity

$$(\forall m \in M)(0m = m), \quad \text{(Unitary)}$$

(ii) *separability* if it satisfies the identity

$$(\forall x \in X, \forall m \in M)(xm = m), \quad \text{(Separability)}$$

(iii) *distributive* if it satisfies the identity

$$(\forall x, y \in X, \forall m, n \in M)(xm + yn = (x \wedge y)(m + n)).$$

(Distributive)

For convenience, we define M as a UP-module M over X until further described, where we shall let $X = (X; \cdot, 1)$ be a UP-algebra and $M = (M; +, 0)$ an Abelian group.

PROPOSITION 3.5 *Let $x, x_i \in X$ and $m, m_i \in M$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then the following properties hold.*

$$(i) (\forall x \in X, \forall m \in M)((1 \wedge x)m = 0),$$

$$(ii) (\forall x \in X)(x0 = 0),$$

$$(iii) (\forall x \in X, \forall m \in M)((x \wedge 1)m = 0),$$

$$(iv) (\forall x \in X, \forall m \in M)((x \wedge x)m = xm),$$

$$(v) (\forall x \in X, \forall m \in M)(-xm = x(-m)),$$

$$(vi) (\forall x \in X, \forall m, n \in M)(x(m - n) = xm - xn),$$

$$(vii) -(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i (-m_i).$$

DEFINITION 3.6 Let N be a subgroup of M . Then N is called a *UP-submodule* of M if N is a UP-module over X under the same multiplication which is defined on X and M .

THEOREM 3.7 *A nonempty subset A of M is a UP-submodule if and only if $a - b, xa \in A$ for all $x \in X$ and $a, b \in A$.*

4. Fuzzy sets in UP-modules

A *fuzzy set*^a in a nonempty set X is defined to be a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $[0, 1]$ is the unit closed interval of real numbers. We say that a fuzzy set in X is *constant* if it is a constant function. We define 0_X and 1_X represent the constant fuzzy sets in X that map every element of X to 0 and every element of X to 1, respectively.

^aL. A. Zadeh. “Fuzzy Sets”. In: *Inf. Cont.* 8.3 (1965), pp. 338–353.

DEFINITION 4.1 A fuzzy set α in M is called a *fuzzy UP-submodule* of M if the following axioms hold:

$$(\forall m, n \in M)(\alpha(m + n) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \alpha(n)\}) \quad (\text{FUPSM-1})$$

$$(\forall m \in M)(\alpha(-m) = \alpha(m)) \quad (\text{FUPSM-2})$$

$$(\forall x \in X, \forall m \in M)(\alpha(xm) \geq \alpha(m)) \quad (\text{FUPSM-3})$$

From now on, we define $F(M)$, $FS(M)$, and $F(X)$ as the set of all fuzzy sets and fuzzy UP-submodules of a UP-module M over X , and the set of all fuzzy sets in X , respectively.

The binary relation \leq on $F(M)$ is defined as follows:

$$(\forall \alpha, \beta \in F(M))(\alpha \leq \beta \Leftrightarrow (\forall m \in M)(\alpha(m) \leq \beta(m))).$$

The binary relation \leq on $F(X)$ is defined the same as on $F(M)$.

EXAMPLE 4.2 Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with two binary operations \cdot and $+$ defined by the following tables:

\cdot	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	2	2
2	0	1	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Then $X = (X; \cdot, 0)$ is a UP-algebra and $X = (X; +, 0)$ is an Abelian group.

Thus X is a UP-module over itself with an operation defined by the following table:

	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Now, let $t_0, t_1 \in [0, 1]$ be such that $t_0 < t_1$. We define a fuzzy set α on X as follows:

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ t_1 & t_0 & t_0 & t_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, α is a fuzzy UP-submodule of X .

THEOREM 4.3 *If $\alpha \in F(M)$ satisfies (FUPSM-3), then*

$$(\forall m \in M)(\alpha(0) \geq \alpha(m)). \quad (4.1)$$

THEOREM 4.4 *Let $\alpha \in F(M)$. Then $\alpha \in FS(M)$ if and only if it satisfies (FUPSM-3) and*

$$(\forall m, n \in M)(\alpha(m - n) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \alpha(n)\}). \quad (4.2)$$

THEOREM 4.5 *Let M be unitary and $\alpha \in F(M)$. Then $\alpha \in FS(M)$ if and only if it satisfies (4.1) and*

$$(\forall x, y \in X, \forall m, n \in M)(\alpha(xm - yn) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \alpha(n)\}). \quad (4.3)$$

DEFINITION 4.6 Let $\alpha \in F(M)$. For all $t \in [0, 1]$, the set

$$U(\alpha; t) = \{m \in M \mid \alpha(m) \geq t\}$$

is called an *upper t -level subset* of α .

THEOREM 4.7 Let $\alpha \in F(M)$. Then $\alpha \in FS(M)$ if and only if for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $\emptyset \neq U(\alpha; t)$ is a *UP-submodule* of M .

5. Some properties of fuzzy sets in UP-modules

DEFINITION 5.1 Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \alpha_i \in F(M)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and $x \in X$. We define fuzzy sets $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$, $-\alpha$, and $x\alpha$ in M as follows:

$$(\forall m \in M) \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \right) (m) = \sup_{m = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \left\{ \min_{i=1}^k \{ \alpha_i(a_i) \} \right\} \right)$$

$$(\forall m \in M) \left((-\alpha)(m) = \alpha(-m) \right)$$

$$(\forall m \in M) \left((x\alpha)(m) = \sup_{m=xn} \{ \alpha(n) \} \right)$$

DEFINITION 5.2 For all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $\alpha_i \in F(M)$ is said to have the *same tip* if $\alpha_i(0) = \alpha_j(0)$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

PROPOSITION 5.3 *Let $\alpha_i, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F(M)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.*

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $(1\alpha)(0) \geq \alpha(m)$ for all $m \in M$,
- (ii) if M is unitary, then $0\alpha = \alpha$,
- (iii) if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $x\alpha \leq x\beta$ for all $x \in X$,
- (iv) if M is unitary and $0\alpha \leq 0\beta$, then $x\alpha \leq x\beta$ for all $x \in X$,
- (v) $(x \wedge y)\alpha = x(y\alpha)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (vi) if $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i$,
- (vii) $\alpha(m) \leq (x\alpha)(xm)$ for all $x \in X$ and $m \in M$,

- (viii) $(\forall x \in X)(\alpha(m) \leq \gamma(xm)$ for all $m \in M$ if and only if $x\alpha \leq \gamma$),
- (ix) if $\alpha_i \in FS(M)$ and has the same tip for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, then
- $$\alpha_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i,$$
- (x) $(x\alpha + y\beta)(xm + yn) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \beta(n)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $m, n \in M$,
- (xi) $(x\alpha + y\beta)(xm - yn) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \beta(-n)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $m, n \in M$, in particular, if (**FUPSM-2**) holds, then $(x\alpha + y\beta)(xm - yn) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \beta(n)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $m, n \in M$,

(xii) *if $\gamma \geq x\alpha + y\beta$ for $x, y \in X$, then $\gamma(xm - yn) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \beta(-n)\}$ for all $m, n \in M$, in particular, if **(FUPSM-2)** holds, then $\gamma(xm - yn) \geq \min\{\alpha(m), \beta(n)\}$ for all $m, n \in M$.*

THEOREM 5.4 *If $\alpha \in FS(M)$, then it satisfies (FUPSM-2) and*

$$(\forall x \in X)(x\alpha \leq \alpha), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\alpha + \alpha \leq \alpha. \tag{5.2}$$

COROLLARY 5.5 *If $\alpha \in FS(M)$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha \leq \alpha. \tag{5.3}$$

THEOREM 5.6 *Let M be unitary and $\alpha \in F(M)$. If α satisfies (FUPSM-2), (5.1), and (5.2), then $\alpha \in FS(M)$.*

THEOREM 5.7 *Let M be unitary and $\alpha \in F(M)$. If α satisfies (4.1), (FUPSM-2), and*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x\alpha + y\alpha \leq \alpha), \quad (5.4)$$

then $\alpha \in FS(M)$.

THEOREM 5.8 *Let $\alpha \in FS(M)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $-\alpha \in FS(M)$,
- (ii) *if M is unitary, X is meet-commutative, and $x\alpha$ satisfies (5.2) for $x \in X$, that is, $x\alpha + x\alpha \leq x\alpha$, then $x\alpha \in FS(M)$.*

DEFINITION 5.9 Let $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq F(M)$. We define fuzzy sets $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i$ and $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i$ in M as follows:

$$(\forall m \in M) \left(\left(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i \right) (m) = \inf_{i \in \Lambda} \{ \alpha_i(m) \} \right),$$

$$(\forall m \in M) \left(\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i \right) (m) = \sup_{i \in \Lambda} \{ \alpha_i(m) \} \right).$$

LEMMA 5.10 *Let $\beta, \alpha_i \in F(M)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *if $\beta \leq \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $\beta \leq \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i$,*
- (ii) *if $\alpha_i \leq \beta$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i \leq \beta$.*

THEOREM 5.11 $(F(M), \cup, \cap)$ is a complete lattice.

THEOREM 5.12 If $\alpha_i \in FS(M)$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i \in FS(M)$.

THEOREM 5.13 If $\alpha_i \in F(M)$ satisfies (FUPSM-2) and (FUPSM-3) for all $i \in \Lambda$, then $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i$ satisfies (FUPSM-2) and (FUPSM-3), respectively.

DEFINITION 5.14 Let Y be a subset of a set X . The characteristic function of Y is defined as follows:

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(\chi_Y(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in Y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right).$$

In particular, $\chi_\emptyset = 0_X$ and $\chi_X = 1_X$.

DEFINITION 5.15 Let a be an element of a set X and $t \in [0, 1]$. The fuzzy point a_t in X is defined as follows:

$$(\forall x \in X) \left(a_t(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right).$$

6. Fuzzy UP-submodule generated by a set

In this section, we define and study the fuzzy UP-submodule generated by a set of fuzzy sets in UP-modules, as well as provide for their properties and their relation to fuzzy UP-submodules.

DEFINITION 6.1 Let $A \subseteq F(M)$. The intersection of all fuzzy UP-submodules of M greater than all fuzzy sets in A is called the *fuzzy UP-submodule generated by A* , denoted by $\langle A \rangle$. By Theorem 5.12, we get $\langle A \rangle$ is the least fuzzy UP-submodule of M greater than all fuzzy sets in A . If $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$, then we write $\langle A \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle$. If A is finite and $\alpha = \langle A \rangle$, then we say that α is finitely generated. In particular, if $\alpha = \langle \alpha_t \rangle$, then we say that α is *cyclic*.

DEFINITION 6.2 Let N be a subset of M . We define a subset $[N]$ of M as follows:

$$[N] = \{m \in M \mid m = xn \text{ for some } x \in X \text{ and } n \in N\}.$$

LEMMA 6.3 *Let N be a subset of M . Then*

- (i) *if M is unitary, then $N \subseteq [N]$,*
- (ii) *if N is a UP-submodule of M , then $[N] \subseteq N$,*
- (iii) *if M is unitary and N is a UP-submodule of M , then $N = [N]$.*

LEMMA 6.4 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in F(M)$. Then*

- (i) *if α satisfies **(FUPSM-1)**, then $U(\alpha; s) + U(\alpha; t) \subseteq U(\alpha; \min\{s, t\})$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$,*
- (ii) *if α satisfies **(FUPSM-1)** and **(FUPSM-3)**, then $[U(\alpha; s)] + [U(\alpha; t)] \subseteq U(\alpha; \min\{s, t\})$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$,*
- (iii) *if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $U(\alpha; t) \subseteq U(\beta; t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,*
- (iv) *if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and β satisfies **(FUPSM-3)**, then $[U(\alpha; t)] \subseteq U(\beta; t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

COROLLARY 6.5 *Let M be unitary and $\alpha, \beta \in F(M)$. Then*

- (i) *if α satisfies **(FUPSM-1)**, then $U(\alpha; s) + U(\alpha; t) \subseteq U(\alpha; \min\{s, t\}) \subseteq [U(\alpha; \min\{s, t\})]$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$,*
- (ii) *if α satisfies **(FUPSM-1)** and **(FUPSM-3)**, then $U(\alpha; s) + U(\alpha; t) \subseteq [U(\alpha; s)] + [U(\alpha; t)] \subseteq U(\alpha; \min\{s, t\}) \subseteq [U(\alpha; \min\{s, t\})]$ for all $s, t \in [0, 1]$,*
- (iii) *if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $U(\alpha; t) \subseteq U(\beta; t) \subseteq [U(\beta; t)]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,*
- (iv) *if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and β satisfies **(FUPSM-3)**, then $U(\alpha; t) \subseteq [U(\alpha; t)] \subseteq U(\beta; t) \subseteq [U(\beta; t)]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

LEMMA 6.6 *If $\alpha \in F(M)$ satisfies (FUPSM-3), then*

$$(\forall x \in X)(\sup\{t \in [0, 1] \mid xm \in U(\alpha; t)\} \geq \sup\{t \in [0, 1] \mid m \in U(\alpha; t)\}). \quad (6.1)$$

DEFINITION 6.7 Let $f \in F(X)$ and $\beta \in F(M)$. The composition $f \circ \beta$ and the product $f\beta$ of f and β are defined as follows:

$$(\forall m \in M)((f \circ \beta)(m) = \sup_{m=xn} \{\min\{f(x), \beta(n)\}\}),$$

$$(\forall m \in M)((f\beta)(m) = \sup_{m=\sum_{i=1}^k x_i m_i} \{\min\{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k), \beta(m_1), \dots, \beta(m_k)\}\})$$

From Definition 6.7, we know that

$$(\forall f \in F(X), \forall \beta \in F(M))(f \circ \beta \leq f\beta). \quad (6.2)$$

THEOREM 6.8 *Let $A = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq FS(M)$. Then*

(i) *if $\bigcup_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ satisfies (FUPSM-1), then*

$$(\forall m \in M)(\langle A \rangle(m) = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] \mid m \in U(\bigcup_{i \in I} \alpha_i; t)\}),$$

(ii) *if M is separability, then $a_t = 1_X \circ a_t$ for all $a \in M$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

(iii) *$\langle 0_t \rangle = 0_t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$,*

(iv) *if M is separability, then $\bigcup_{a_t \leq \alpha} (1_X \circ a_t) \leq \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in F(M)$.*

THEOREM 6.9 *Let $A = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\} \subseteq FS(M)$ with the same tip. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$. Moreover, if $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$ is a fuzzy UP-submodule of M , then $\langle \bigcup_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$.*

THEOREM 6.10 *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F(M)$. If α satisfies (FUPSM-1), then*

$$\alpha \cap (\beta + \gamma) \geq (\alpha \cap \beta) + (\alpha \cap \gamma).$$

7. Fuzzy UP-ideals of UP-algebras

DEFINITION 7.1 ^a A fuzzy set f in X is called a *fuzzy UP-ideal* of X if it satisfies the following properties:

$$(\forall x \in X)(f(1) \geq f(x)), \quad (7.1)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X)(f(x \cdot z) \geq \min\{f(x \cdot (y \cdot z)), f(y)\}). \quad (7.2)$$

We define $FI(X)$ as the set of all fuzzy UP-ideals of X .

PROPOSITION 7.2 *If $f \in FI(X)$, then*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(f(x \wedge y) \geq \max\{f(x), f(y)\}). \quad (7.3)$$

DEFINITION 7.3 An $\alpha \in F(M)$ is said to be *increasing* if it satisfies the identity

$$(\forall m, n \in M)(\alpha(m + n) \geq \max\{\alpha(m), \alpha(n)\}). \quad (\text{Increasing})$$

We know that every increasing fuzzy set in a UP-module satisfies **(FUPSM-1)**.

LEMMA 7.4 *If $\alpha \in F(M)$ satisfies (FUPSM-3) and is increasing, then*

$$(\forall m \in M)(\alpha(m) = \alpha(0)). \quad (7.4)$$

THEOREM 7.5 *If M is distributive, $f \in FI(X)$, and $\beta \in F(M)$ is increasing, then $f \circ \beta$ is increasing, that is, it satisfies (FUPSM-1).*

THEOREM 7.6 *If $f \in FI(X)$ and $\beta \in F(M)$ satisfies (FUPSM-2), then $f \circ \beta$ satisfies (FUPSM-2) and (FUPSM-3).*

THEOREM 7.7 *If M is distributive, $f \in FI(X)$, and $\beta \in F(M)$ is increasing and satisfies (FUPSM-2), then $f \circ \beta \in FS(M)$.*

THEOREM 7.8 *If M is distributive, $f \in FI(X)$, and $\beta \in F(M)$ is increasing, then $f\beta$ is increasing, that is, it satisfies (FUPSM-1).*

THEOREM 7.9 *If $f \in FI(X)$ and $\beta \in F(M)$ satisfies (FUPSM-2), then $f\beta$ satisfies (FUPSM-2) and (FUPSM-3).*

THEOREM 7.10 *If M is distributive, $f \in FI(X)$, and $\beta \in F(M)$ is increasing and satisfies (FUPSM-2), then $f\beta \in FS(M)$.*

PROPOSITION 7.11 *Let $f, g \in F(X)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F(M)$, where β satisfies (FUPSM-1). Then $f \circ \alpha \leq \beta$ if and only if $f\alpha \leq \beta$.*

PROPOSITION 7.12 *Let $f, g \in F(X)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F(M)$. Then*

- (i) *if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $f \circ \alpha \leq f \circ \beta$ and $f\alpha \leq f\beta$,*
- (ii) *if $f \leq g$, then $f \circ \beta \leq g \circ \beta$ and $f\beta \leq g\beta$.*

LEMMA 7.13 *Let $x_s, x_t, f \in F(X)$ and $a_s, a_t, \beta \in F(M)$. Then*

$$(i) \quad f \circ a_{\min\{t,s\}} \leq (f \circ a_s) \cap (f \circ a_t),$$

$$(ii) \quad f a_{\min\{t,s\}} \leq (f a_s) \cap (f a_t),$$

$$(iii) \quad x_{\min\{t,s\}} \circ \beta \leq (x_s \circ \beta) \cap (x_t \circ \beta),$$

$$(iv) \quad x_{\min\{t,s\}} \beta \leq (x_s \beta) \cap (x_t \beta).$$

LEMMA 7.14 *Let $f \in F(X)$ and $a_t, b_s, \beta \in F(M)$, where β satisfies **(FUPSM-1)**. If $f \circ a_t \leq \beta$ and $f \circ b_s \leq \beta$, then*

$$(\forall x \in X)((f \circ (a + b)_{\min\{t,s\}})(x(a + b)) \leq \beta(x(a + b))). \quad (7.5)$$

LEMMA 7.15 *Let $f \in F(X)$ and $a_t, b_s, \beta \in F(M)$, where β satisfies (FUPSM-1) and (FUPSM-2). If $f \circ a_t \leq \beta$ and $f \circ b_s \leq \beta$, then*

$$(\forall x \in X)((f \circ (a - b)_{\min\{t,s\}})(x(a - b)) \leq \beta(x(a - b))). \quad (7.6)$$

PROPOSITION 7.16 *Let $f \in FI(X)$ and $a_t \in F(M)$. Then*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(f \circ (xa)_t)(y(xa)) \leq (f \circ a_t)(y(xa)). \quad (7.7)$$

LEMMA 7.17 *Let $x_t \in F(X)$ and $\alpha \in F(M)$. If α satisfies (FUPSM-3), then*

$$(1_t \circ \alpha)(0) \leq (x_t \circ \alpha)(0). \quad (7.8)$$

LEMMA 7.18 *Let $f \in F(X)$ and $a_t \in F(M)$. If f satisfies (7.1), then*

$$(f \circ 0_t)(0) \leq (f \circ a_t)(0). \quad (7.9)$$

LEMMA 7.19 *Let $f \in F(X)$ and $a_t, b_s, \beta \in F(M)$, where β satisfies (FUPSM-1). If $fa_t \leq \beta$ and $fb_s \leq \beta$, then*

$$(\forall x \in X)((f(a + b)_{\min\{t,s\}})(x(a + b)) \leq \beta(x(a + b))). \quad (7.10)$$

LEMMA 7.20 *Let $f \in F(X)$ and $a_t, b_s, \beta \in F(M)$, where β satisfies (FUPSM-1) and (FUPSM-2). If $fa_t \leq \beta$ and $fb_s \leq \beta$, then*

$$(\forall x \in X)((f(a - b)_{\min\{t,s\}})(x(a - b)) \leq \beta(x(a - b))). \quad (7.11)$$

PROPOSITION 7.21 *Let $f \in FI(X)$ and $a_t \in F(M)$. Then*

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(f(xa)_t)(y(xa)) \leq (fa_t)(y(xa)). \quad (7.12)$$

THANK YOU
for your time and attention